

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARLOS RAMOS

Defendant.

**8:17CR127**

**ORDER**

This matter is before the court on unopposed Motion for Continuance [78]. Defendant is currently incapacitated and seeks additional time to negotiate a plea. For good cause shown,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the defendant's unopposed Motion for Continuance [78] is granted as follows:

1. The jury trial now set for February 5, 2019, is continued to **April 9, 2019**.

2. In accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), the court finds that the ends of justice will be served by granting this continuance and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Any additional time arising as a result of the granting of this motion, that is, the time between **today's date and April 9, 2019** shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act. Failure to grant a continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv).

Dated this 30<sup>th</sup> day of January 2019.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Michael D. Nelson  
United States Magistrate Judge